

Review of: "MCDA - Groundwater prediction analysis for Sustainable Development using GIS Supported AHP in Okeigbo, Southwestern Nigeria"

Nagamani K¹

¹ Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: Research work is good, but need to update the paper with given comments.

Research work is good, but need to update the paper with given comments.

Comment 1. An abstract should be of approximately 200-300 words.

Comment 2. Improve the abstract stating the purpose, method, findings, conclusion and practical implication. Conclude the objective(s) and the research gap to come up application of the study.

Comment 3. Introduce the topic and your rationale for addressing this topic focusing on why this topic is important. Clearly define exactly what this article will discuss, outline the order in which you will discuss each subtopic to give the reader any background information needed to understand the coming sections.

Comment 4. Increase more literature review in the introduction section.

Comment 5. Many grammatical mistakes and inconsistency is very important weak point in the MS., some sentences cannot be understood.

Comment 6. Give reference "Mongolia has a strongly continental climate, and four distinct seasons of the year. Therefore, there are high fluctuations in temperature and low precipitation in a region".

Comment 7. Improve the quality of figure 1. Legend is not visible.

Comment 8. Figure 2 needs more discussion.

Comment 9. Result and discussion is too short elaborate it.

Comment 10. Table 1 needs more discussion.

Comment 11. In the semi-desert zone of Mongolia, we developed a method to estimate GPP using satellite data from 2000 to 2019 and forecasted future trends. The future tend up to 2030 you have shown in the stable position should be separately discussed and highlighted in the separate figure. There are two possibilities in projecting the future tends increase or decrease discuss. Stability is not strong agreement.

Comment 12. Conclusions: You should develop the conclusion by briefly restating the rationale for your review and the

purpose of the article, then discussing the conclusions you have drawn. You should also discuss the implications of your review findings and where you think research in this field should go from here.