

Review of: "Optimized Material Removal and Tool Wear Rates in Milling API 5ST TS-90 Alloy: AI-Driven Optimization and Modelling with ANN, ANFIS, and RSM"

Mohammad Effendi¹

1 Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Is the 20 datasets enough for ANN's testing, training, and validation process?
- 2. Is there any replication of the 20 data?
- 3. It is surprising that the RSM results are better than ANN; could you explain it?
- 4. Parameters for using ANN should be explained, such as how many nodes, hidden layers, activation function, learning rate, percentage of data sharing for training, testing, and validation, etc.
- 5. Parameters in ANFIS need to be explained, such as optimal method, MF type at input and output, etc.
- 6. In sub-chapter 3.5, the author uses CCD-RSP as an optimization method; how does the performance of this method compare with other optimization methods?

Qeios ID: BOZ3ZW · https://doi.org/10.32388/BOZ3ZW