

## Review of: "Implementing Machine Learning to predict the 10-year risk of Cardiovascular Disease"

## Ganesh Yenurkar<sup>1</sup>

1 Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The major drawback of this work is its limited contributions and lack of novelty.
- 2. There lacks comparisons to the-state-of-the-art-work.
- 3. The methods used in the article are relatively traditional and do not reflect innovation.
- 4. I would like to ask to authors to provide the Proposed system architecture.
- 5. Authors need to provide the performance parameters of the proposed model.
- 6. All the ML algorithms are need to compare with the proposed model with accaracy, precision ...etc.
- 7. Keywords need to revised.
- 8. Need to mentioned the accuracy in Abstract and Conclusion.
- 9. Authors need to provide the preprocessing and feature extraction techniques.
- 10. The tables in the manuscripts gives information about the previous techniques only, proposed model is not compared with those.
- 11. Abstract should be a single paragrapgh with specifying the problem, need and brief intro of propsed method.
- 12. The organization of the paper shows that its a study/review article not a research article.