

Review of: "Understanding General Relativity and Gravity Through the Heaven/Earth Framework— A Yijing Perspective"

Mushtaq Ahmad¹

¹ FAST - National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences (NUCES)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper presents a novel interdisciplinary approach by attempting to correlate Yijing's ancient metaphysical principles with Einstein's modern theory of relativity. This fusion of Eastern and Western philosophical and scientific traditions is quite unique. Typically, Yijing is explored within the realms of philosophy, divination, and cultural studies, while general relativity is firmly grounded in empirical physics. The novelty lies in the paper's effort to bridge these two vastly different domains, suggesting that ancient cyclical principles may provide a new perspective on gravitational phenomena and the structure of spacetime. This approach could potentially open up new avenues for interdisciplinary research, encouraging scholars from different fields to explore unconventional connections.

However, based on the provided text, here are six critical questions for the author of the paper titled "Understanding General Relativity and Gravity Through the Heaven/Earth Framework— A Yijing Perspective." These questions aim to critically examine the theoretical foundations, empirical validations, philosophical implications, and future prospects of the interdisciplinary approach presented in the paper.

1. How do you justify the methodological integration of Yijing's cyclical principles, which are rooted in metaphysical and symbolic traditions, with Einstein's empirically grounded theory of relativity? What are the specific criteria or frameworks used to establish this interdisciplinary connection?
2. Given the empirical nature of general relativity, how do you propose to empirically validate the correlations drawn between Yijing principles and the physical phenomena described by Einstein's theories? Are there specific experiments or observations that can substantiate these claims?
3. The paper mentions the allegorical association between Yijing and modern science. How do you address the potential criticism that these associations are more metaphorical than scientifically rigorous? How do you ensure that these allegorical connections do not lead to misconceptions about scientific principles?
4. The integration of Yijing's metaphysical concepts with the physical theories of relativity suggests profound philosophical implications. How do you reconcile the deterministic nature of physical laws with the cyclical and dynamic nature of Yijing's cosmology? What philosophical stance do you adopt to bridge these two worldviews?

5. What are the limitations of your approach in terms of its applicability to the broader scientific community? Are there specific areas within the realm of general relativity or Yijing that resist this interdisciplinary synthesis? How do you address these limitations in your research?

6. What future research directions do you propose for further exploring the intersections between Yijing and modern physics? Are there other traditional metaphysical systems that you believe could offer similar insights into contemporary scientific theories? How would you approach these investigations?

The paper presents a novel interdisciplinary approach by attempting to correlate Yijing's ancient metaphysical principles with Einstein's modern theory of relativity. This fusion of Eastern and Western philosophical and scientific traditions is quite unique. Typically, Yijing is explored within the realms of philosophy, divination, and cultural studies, while general relativity is firmly grounded in empirical research.