

# Review of: "Risk of death derived from Hazard Ratio should not be communicated as Relative Risk reductions for death in cancer clinical trials. Intentional or inadvertent?"

Gautam Ram Choudhary

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In the article "Hazard Risk Reductions Should Not Be Communicated as Relative Risk Reductions for Death in Cancer Clinical Trials: Intentional or Inadvertent?" The authors aimed to explore a highly pertinent pitfall in the interpretation of an article published in a medical journal. It is well recognized that numerous statistical methods can be applied to a given dataset, with new methodologies continually emerging. Despite this, certain limitations persist. While peer-reviewed journals strive for impeccable publications, reviewers may occasionally overlook an article's shortcomings. The primary issue appears to be the interpretation by news agencies, which may struggle to comprehend the true value of a given article. For them, changes in numbers might be the focus, turning them into news articles without fully grasping the inherent significance of the research.