

Review of: "Could geographical features of green spaces influence physical exercise? Examining the roles of neighbourhood diversity and single status"

Hossein Saedi¹

¹ North Carolina State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is very well structured.

The abstract is clear and communicates the gist of the paper very properly.

The introduction is very well prepared. It does not provide unnecessary information and avoids redundancy to make its intended points.

The last paragraph of the literature review can be removed or be added to the beginning of the **Statistical analyses** section or something along the same lines can be added to the end of the abstract. But this paragraph is less relevant to the literature review section. The paragraph is copied below for reference:

"In this study, a series of linear and instrumental-variable regressions with many levels of fixed effects models were designed to provide evidences for the associations above. The empirical findings from statistical analyses would provide insights for physical activity in green spaces for full-time employees."

The information provided under Method is clean and concise. I would recommend to change the "British Nationality" title to **Nationality Break Down** or **Nationality** as the title can be misinterpreted as if the only demographics in the study was British. Same is true for "**Poor self-reported health**" that can be substituted with **Self-reported Health**.

The results are well defined and explained.

Discussion section is an informative representation of the outcomes in relation to the existing literature.

The clear and concise conclusion that helps audience understand the outcomes in a few short sentences.