

Review of: "Who Is Responsible for Preventing Children's Sexual Harassment?"

Adamkolo Ibrahim¹

¹ University of Maiduguri

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

REVIEWER RESPONSE FOR THE PAPER 'SKGRZG'

I have conducted a comprehensive peer review of the research paper titled "Who Is Responsible for Preventing Children's Sexual Harassment?" submitted to *Qeios*. My assessment focused on evaluating the overall quality and academic rigour of the paper. Overall, the research investigates a critical and significant societal issue, offering valuable insights into the multifaceted responsibilities involved in preventing children's sexual harassment. While the research addresses a crucial societal concern, I have identified certain areas that require the author's attention to enhance the overall quality and clarity of the paper. My review report is outlined below:

1. **Abstract:** The abstract provides a good framework for understanding the scope and focus of the research. However, enhancing the content with more specific details, statistics, and implications of the findings would significantly strengthen the overall impact and significance of the study.
2. **Literature Review:** While the literature review offers a comprehensive analysis, it is recommended that the author incorporate more recent and pertinent sources to ensure the content reflects the latest developments and research in the field of preventing children's sexual harassment.
3. **Objectives/Research Questions:** After reviewing the document, it appears that the specific objectives and research questions are not explicitly stated in the text. This lack of clearly defined objectives and research questions can be considered a significant gap in the research paper. Without well-defined objectives and research questions, the study may lack a clear focus, making it challenging for readers to understand the intended goals of the research. Establishing clear objectives and research questions is crucial as they provide a framework for the study, guiding the research process and ensuring that the findings are relevant and coherent. By clearly defining the objectives and research questions, the study can effectively address the research problem and contribute to a more structured and purposeful investigation.
4. **Methodology:** Although the research methodology is well-presented, there is a need for more explicit detailing of the data analysis process. Furthermore, providing additional information on the specific procedures and techniques employed in the data analysis would significantly strengthen the research methodology section.
5. **Results:** The results section contains valuable insights; however, it lacks clarity and organisation. The incorporation of visual aids, such as figures or tables, could help enhance the presentation of findings and improve the reader's comprehension.

6. **Discussion:** While the discussion effectively interprets the research findings, it tends to be verbose and could benefit from more concise and focused analysis. A clearer structure and organisation of the discussion points would improve the overall readability and impact of this section.
7. **Conclusion and Recommendations:** The conclusion adequately summarises the key findings, but it would be valuable to expand on the specific implications of the research results and how they can contribute to addressing the issue of children's sexual harassment. Additionally, the recommendations could be more detailed, providing a clearer action plan for implementing the suggested measures.
8. **References:** There are inconsistencies in the formatting style of the references that need to be addressed. Ensuring uniformity and adherence to the specified citation style guidelines throughout the reference list is crucial for maintaining the paper's academic integrity.

I trust that the author will consider these recommendations and make the necessary revisions to strengthen the paper's quality and academic impact. Should you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Below are the review comments in details.

Abstract

This abstract presents an overview of a study that focuses on the analysis of various models of responsibility in the prevention of children's sexual harassment in Indonesia. The study's objectives are clearly stated, and the methods are outlined with a comprehensive description of the data sources and the methodology used for data collection and analysis. However, the abstract could be improved in several aspects:

Background: The background provides a succinct overview of the issue of child sexual harassment in Indonesia. However, it lacks specific statistics or recent data to demonstrate the gravity and urgency of the issue. Adding some recent statistics or contextual information would enhance the background section.

Objective: The objectives are clearly defined, focusing on analysing the models of responsibility for preventing children's sexual harassment at various levels. However, the abstract could benefit from a clearer statement of the significance and potential impact of this analysis.

Methods: The methods are adequately described, outlining the qualitative approach and the use of various data sources, which include primary data from informants and secondary data from archive documents. However, more details regarding the selection criteria for the informants and the specific procedures for data analysis would improve the clarity and transparency of the research methodology.

Results: The abstract lacks specific details about the key findings of the study. It should provide a concise summary of the main results and highlight any significant patterns or trends identified in the analysis. Including a brief overview of the most notable findings would enhance the comprehensibility of the abstract.

Conclusion: The conclusion briefly summarises the study's findings, emphasising the importance of the collective responsibility at different levels, including the state, community, educational institutions, and families. However, it could benefit from a clearer articulation of the implications of these findings for addressing the issue of children's sexual harassment and potential directions for future research or policy development.

Literature Review Assessment

The literature review provides a comprehensive overview of the incidence of sexual harassment in Indonesia, citing various government data and regulations. The extensive use of statistics and legal references enhances the credibility of the review. However, several aspects could be improved to strengthen the quality of the literature review:

1. **Organisation and Coherence:** The literature review lacks a clear structure, making it challenging for the reader to follow the progression of ideas. It would benefit from a well-defined structure that highlights the main themes and arguments, guiding the reader through the complex information provided.
2. **Synthesis of Previous Research:** While the review references various data and regulations, it could be strengthened by incorporating relevant findings from previous studies or scholarly works on sexual harassment in Indonesia. Including a synthesis of existing literature would help contextualise the current study within the broader academic discourse on this topic.
3. **Critical Analysis:** The literature review primarily presents information from various sources without critically evaluating the strengths and limitations of the data or regulations cited. Incorporating critical insights or discussing the challenges and gaps in the existing measures for preventing sexual harassment would add depth to the analysis.
4. **Citations and Referencing:** The literature review includes multiple references, which enhances its credibility. However, the review would benefit from a consistent and accurate citation style, ensuring that all sources are appropriately cited in accordance with academic standards.
5. **Language and Clarity:** The language used in the literature review is generally clear and concise. However, there are instances where the text could be refined for greater clarity and precision. Clarifying complex terminologies and concepts would make the review more accessible to a broader audience.
6. **Scope and Focus:** The literature review covers a broad range of data, legal regulations, and societal implications related to sexual harassment in Indonesia. To enhance the focus, the review should prioritise key themes and issues, ensuring that each point contributes directly to the central argument of the study.
7. **Objectives/Research Questions:** However, after reviewing the document, it appears that the specific objectives and research questions are not explicitly stated in the text. This lack of clearly defined objectives and research questions can be considered a significant gap in the research paper. Without well-defined objectives and research questions, the study may lack a clear focus, making it challenging for readers to understand the intended goals of the research. Establishing clear objectives and research questions is crucial as they provide a framework for the study, guiding the research process.

and ensuring that the findings are relevant and coherent. By clearly defining the objectives and research questions, the study can effectively address the research problem and contribute to a more structured and purposeful investigation.

Concisely, the literature review effectively presents a wealth of information on the prevalence of sexual harassment in Indonesia, supported by relevant data and legal frameworks. To improve its quality, the review should consider restructuring for better organisation, incorporating critical analysis, and providing a more focused synthesis of existing literature. These enhancements will strengthen the overall coherence and scholarly impact of the literature review.

Methods

The research methodology section provides a general overview of the research design and data collection process, offering insights into the qualitative approach adopted for the study. While the methodology is adequately described, there are areas where further elaboration and clarification would strengthen the quality of the research methodology:

1. **Rationale for Qualitative Approach:** The choice of a qualitative approach is briefly mentioned, but the rationale behind this decision is not explicitly articulated. It would be beneficial to include a brief explanation of why a qualitative approach was deemed most suitable for addressing the research objectives and for exploring the complex dynamics of children's sexual harassment in Indonesia.
2. **Data Sources and Collection Methods:** The description of data sources and collection methods is clear and informative. However, it could be enhanced by providing more details about the specific procedures employed during interviews, focus group discussions, and observations. Including information on the development of interview guides and the criteria for selecting informants would provide a comprehensive understanding of the data collection process.
3. **Sampling Strategy:** The use of purposive sampling is mentioned, but the rationale for choosing this sampling technique is not explicitly stated. Providing a brief explanation of how the use of purposive sampling aligns with the research goals and objectives would enhance the methodological transparency of the study.
4. **Ethical Considerations:** The research methodology briefly references the ethical clearance obtained for the study. While the ethical clearance number is provided, there is no elaboration on the specific ethical considerations addressed during the research process. Providing a succinct overview of the ethical guidelines followed, such as informed consent, confidentiality, and data protection measures, would demonstrate the research's adherence to ethical standards.
5. **Instrumentation:** The methodology mentions various instruments used in the study, including field observation notes, interview guides, and focus group discussions. Elaborating on the development and validation process of these instruments, along with any steps taken to ensure their reliability and validity, would strengthen the methodological rigor of the research.

To improve the quality of the research methodology, it is advisable to provide additional clarity and detail regarding the rationale for the chosen approach, the specific procedures employed during data collection, the criteria for sampling, ethical considerations, and the development and validation of research instruments. Enhancing these aspects would contribute to a more robust and transparent methodological framework for the study.

Results

The research results section provides a comprehensive presentation of the findings obtained from interviews and focus group discussions. The inclusion of direct quotes from the informants adds depth to the presentation of the results. However, there are certain aspects that can be improved to enhance the quality of the research results:

1. *Clarity and Coherence*: The results section effectively presents findings related to different responsible forms for preventing children's sexual harassment, models of responsibility in families and communities, and prevention in educational institutions. To improve clarity and coherence, it would be beneficial to structure the results with clearer subheadings, facilitating the reader's understanding of the distinct findings in each section.
2. *Integration of Data*: The incorporation of direct quotes from the informants adds authenticity to the research results. To strengthen the analysis, it is advisable to integrate these quotes more effectively with the overall narrative, explaining how each quote aligns with the broader findings and supporting the key themes emerging from the data.
3. *Contextualisation of Findings*: While the results highlight the roles and responsibilities of different stakeholders in preventing children's sexual harassment, providing a more nuanced discussion of the contextual factors that influence these roles would strengthen the depth of the analysis. Exploring the socio-cultural, economic, and political dynamics that shape these responsibilities would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the findings.
4. *Comparative Analysis*: The results section could benefit from a comparative analysis that discusses similarities and differences in the models of responsibility across different settings, such as the state, community, educational institutions, and families. This comparative approach would enrich the discussion and offer valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of preventing children's sexual harassment in Indonesia.

By addressing these aspects, the research results can be presented in a more structured, integrated, and comparative manner, providing readers with a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics and responsibilities associated with the prevention of children's sexual harassment in different settings.

Discussion

The discussion section effectively elaborates on the results, providing a comprehensive analysis of the responsible forms and models for preventing children's sexual harassment in various settings. It incorporates relevant legislative frameworks, organisational roles, and community involvement, offering valuable insights into the complex dynamics associated with preventing children's sexual harassment in Indonesia. However, to enhance the quality of the discussion, the following suggestions are provided:

1. *Coherence and Structure*: The discussion generally maintains a coherent flow, connecting the research findings with theoretical and legal frameworks. However, the section would benefit from clearer subheadings that delineate the discussion of each responsible form and model. This would help readers navigate through the complex information more effectively and understand the distinct aspects of each discussion point.

2. *Integration of Literature:* While the discussion incorporates references to relevant legal documents, it would be beneficial to integrate more scholarly literature to support the arguments and analyses. Including recent research studies, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence would strengthen the discussion, providing a broader context for the findings and enriching the overall depth of the analysis.

3. *Critical Analysis:* To enhance the critical analysis, the discussion should delve deeper into the implications of the findings. Exploring the potential challenges, limitations, and gaps in the current prevention strategies would provide a more nuanced understanding of the complexities associated with combating children's sexual harassment. Additionally, discussing potential areas for further research or policy development would contribute to the scholarly discourse on this critical issue.

4. *Contextualisation of Findings:* The discussion effectively contextualises the findings within the Indonesian socio-cultural and legal context. However, further elaboration on how specific cultural norms, values, and social structures influence the implementation of preventive measures would strengthen the analysis. Providing a nuanced understanding of the cultural nuances and their impact on preventing children's sexual harassment would enhance the scholarly contribution of the research.

5. *Policy Implications:* The discussion would benefit from a more explicit exploration of the policy implications derived from the research findings. Discussing the potential policy recommendations and interventions that can be derived from the research would contribute to the practical application of the study's outcomes, facilitating the development of more effective strategies for preventing children's sexual harassment in various settings.

By addressing these aspects, the discussion section can be enriched, offering a more comprehensive and insightful analysis of the responsible forms and models for preventing children's sexual harassment. This would contribute to the existing literature and inform future research and policy initiatives aimed at addressing this critical societal issue.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The conclusion succinctly encapsulates the key findings of the research, emphasising the multi-level responsibility involved in preventing children's sexual harassment. It effectively aligns with the research data presented in the earlier sections. However, to further strengthen the conclusion and recommendations, the following points are suggested:

1. *Elaboration of Multilevel Responsibility:* While the conclusion acknowledges the diverse levels of responsibility, it could benefit from a more detailed explanation of the specific roles and coordination mechanisms among the state, community, and educational institutions. Providing a nuanced understanding of the interplay between these entities would enhance the readers' comprehension of the complex network of responsibility in preventing children's sexual harassment.

2. *Emphasis on Cultural Sensitivity:* Considering the cultural nuances that influence perceptions of sexual harassment, it is crucial for the conclusion to underscore the importance of culturally sensitive prevention strategies. Incorporating recommendations that address cultural factors and norms, while promoting awareness and sensitivity within communities,

would strengthen the efficacy of the proposed prevention measures.

3. Inclusion of Long-term Sustainability: The conclusion could benefit from highlighting the importance of sustainable interventions and long-term strategies in preventing children's sexual harassment. Incorporating recommendations for the establishment of sustainable programs, continuous monitoring and evaluation, and capacity-building initiatives would ensure the long-term effectiveness and impact of the proposed preventive measures.

4. Policy and Legislative Implications: To further enrich the conclusion, it is advisable to include a brief discussion on the potential policy implications derived from the research. This could involve suggestions for policy amendments or enhancements based on the research findings, emphasising the need for comprehensive legal frameworks and policy measures to address the underlying issues contributing to children's sexual harassment.

Regarding the recommendations, they are adequately aligned with the research data and provide practical guidance for improving the current prevention strategies. However, to enhance their efficacy, it is advisable to provide more specific and actionable steps for implementing each recommendation. Furthermore, emphasising the importance of collaborative efforts among stakeholders and the continuous evaluation of the implemented measures would fortify the recommendations for preventing children's sexual harassment effectively.

By addressing these aspects, the conclusion and recommendations can be further strengthened, providing a comprehensive and actionable roadmap for stakeholders and policymakers to effectively address and prevent children's sexual harassment in various settings.

References

The references provided in the research paper appear to be well-curated and diverse, offering a comprehensive range of sources to support the study's findings and discussions. The inclusion of a variety of sources, including government reports, academic publications, regulations, and books, contributes to the credibility and reliability of the research.

However, to ensure the utmost scholarly rigour, the following points are recommended for consideration:

1. Consistency in Formatting: The references display some inconsistencies in formatting, particularly in the use of punctuation, capitalisation, and retrieval information. Ensuring uniformity in the presentation style of references according to a recognised citation style (such as APA, MLA, or Chicago) is essential for maintaining professionalism and academic integrity. Writing all the references in italics also goes against known reference writing styles, especially that of APA.

2. Clarification of Publication Information: Some references lack specific details about the publication medium, such as the volume, issue number, and page range. Including comprehensive publication details would enhance the accessibility and verifiability of the cited sources for the readers and other researchers.

3. Verification of Web Links: It is essential to verify the integrity of the web links provided for online sources. Given the dynamic nature of online content, ensuring that the links lead to the intended sources is crucial for readers who wish to access the cited materials.

4. *Inclusion of More Recent and Journal Sources* While the majority of the references are recent and pertinent to the research topic, there is room to include more current research, especially considering the dynamic nature of the subject of children's sexual harassment. Incorporating the latest studies and reports would add value to the research and demonstrate the author's awareness of the most recent developments in the field. Furthermore, add more journal sources rather than internet sources.

5. *Diversification of Sources*: While the references are generally comprehensive, it would be beneficial to diversify the sources further by including more peer-reviewed journal articles and research papers to strengthen the academic foundation of the study.

By addressing these suggestions, the research paper can maintain its scholarly credibility and ensure that the references contribute effectively to the robustness and reliability of the research findings.

My verdict for this paper is: Revise with MINOR corrections.