

Review of: "Enhancing Supply Chain Management Risk Mitigation: A House of Risk Methodology Applied to Brick Manufacturing in Aceh Besar Regency"

Umair Manzoor¹

¹ National Textile University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

After reviewing the research article, here are some comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper:

1. Introduction:

- The introduction could be more concise and focused, providing a clear problem statement and the research gap addressed by the study.
- The significance and potential contributions of the research should be explicitly stated.

2. Literature Review:

- The literature review section is comprehensive but could benefit from better organization and flow.
- Some subsections, such as "Risk Management" and "House of Risk," could be merged or consolidated for better readability.
- The relevance and connections between the different subsections could be better explained.

3. Methodology:

- The methodology section is well-structured and detailed, providing a clear understanding of the research approach.
- However, more justification for the chosen methods and their suitability for addressing the research objectives could be provided.
- Additional information on the sampling technique and sample size determination would strengthen the methodology.

4. Results and Discussion:

- The results and discussion sections are comprehensive and well-organized, presenting the findings in a logical manner.
- However, some of the tables and figures could benefit from better formatting and clearer captions for improved readability.
- More in-depth interpretation and discussion of the findings, relating them to the existing literature and theoretical frameworks, would enhance the quality of the analysis.

5. Conclusion:

- The conclusion section effectively summarizes the key findings and contributions of the research.
- It could be further strengthened by providing more specific recommendations for practitioners and future research directions.

6. Writing and Language:

- The overall writing and language are clear and coherent, but there are some instances of grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved through careful proofreading.

7. References:

- The references are comprehensive and relevant, demonstrating a thorough review of the literature.
- Ensure that all in-text citations are properly formatted and consistent with the chosen citation style.

8. Structure and Organization:

- The overall structure and organization of the paper are logical and well-organized, with appropriate subheadings and transitions.
- However, some sections (such as the literature review) could benefit from further refinement and better flow between subsections.