

Review of: "Microsatellite Instability of Colon adenocarcinomas in India comprises multiple molecular subtypes"

Patroklos Katafygiotis

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The subject of the article is very interesting. The authors use many methods and applications. However, the sample is small and the various methods are used in a way that seems to be based on doing what we have and fishing for something. Most importantly, the analysis of the text is not related to the title of the paper.

In this text there are shortcomings at the technical level that do not allow us to understand the reasoning. Why the sample included CRCs in the early phase (Stage I or II) only?

The sentence in the conclusion " The subgroup with TLR4 regulation may contribute to a better diagnosis for MSI pathogenesis of early stage CRC " cannot be based on any previous report.

There appears to be no relevant analysis of the association of MMR status with increased prevalence rate of CRC in specific geographical areas of India.

I think the purpose of this paper should be re-evaluated and made evident from the beginning.