

## Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Meera Neelakantan<sup>1</sup>

1 Christ University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I appreciate the authors' work on the relevant topic. The study on street vendors in Columbia has given relevant insights on the scenario during COVID-19 pandemic. It is crucial to study the influence of government based initiatives on psychological well-being of citizens during calamities and global crisis situations like these. Hence I am sure this body of knowledge is relevant to further study the issue in depth.

However would like to make few suggestions which can significantly improve the quality of the paper

- 1. There needs to be clarity on data collection, storage and destroyal (if any) in the ethics statement
- 2. The measures for subjective well being , life satisfaction could be replaced with standardised tools
- 3. The usage of term "mental disorder" is confusing. Kindly note that the term cannot be used unless there is a diagnosis by reliable methods of assessment
- 4. Overall the results could be analysed better if propoer assessment tools are used
- 5. While talking about government inititaives and its impact, the papere doesnt adequatelt discuss the other variables measured. This is significant gap
- 6. I strongly encourage the authors to check the language, sentence structure and grammar throughout the text

Hoping the feedback is useful. I wish the authors best of luck!