

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

Mohammed Mohammed¹

1 Universiti Malaysia Perlis

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is well prepared but requires major revision. The authors have good knowledge in the subject area. The study needs improvement in the flow, organization, and structure. The results need overwhelming discussion, not like a report of the police. However, there are a few observations for revisions to improve the quality of the submission. I put it in the PDF file:

- 1. The paper requires major English language editing.
- 2. The introduction should be rewritten because it is lacking the information as follows:

The introduction needs major rewrite:

talk about natural fiber.

talk about the advantages of natural fiber.

talk about the disadvantages of natural fiber.

talk about examples of sisal and sugar hybrid composites and their advantages in automotive applications.

talk about the novelty of your work .

talk about your goals.

- 3. Section 2. Methodology and materials needs to put the figures of his own experiment. The readers need to see the steps of his work. Need to see the photos of his materials that were using in his test. How did the author get his materials (sisal and sugar)? Who is the supplier?? What are the characteristics of the materials? Furthermore, the author puts an introduction to this section that should be transferred to the main introduction. In addition, he talked about the chemical treatment (alkaline treatment) by using a concentration of 2% without explaining the reason for using 2% not 3% of NaOH (SHOULD BE SPECIFIC).
- 4. Section 3. Mechanical properties need to put the real figures of the specimens.
- 5. Section 4. Results the author has to discuss the results, not make it as a report, and support his claims by references
- 6. The author has to put a separate section of the discussion, not continue with the section of results.
- 7. The references have to be at least 40 for this kind of work.

Qeios ID: BXD9WR · https://doi.org/10.32388/BXD9WR

