

Review of: "Grandparenting Children With Special Needs and Disabilities: A Narrative Review"

Yumi Shirai¹

¹ University of Arizona

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article's topic is intriguing and significant, reflecting thorough narrative review work. However, the manuscript needs to be more concise. It appears as though a thesis has been uploaded without significant narrowing for article format. Much of the background or related content should either be moved to an attachment or removed from the manuscript.

Below are several areas of concern:

1. Citations:

Many definitions and descriptions of concepts are not properly cited. Any text without a reference should be the author's own idea. One citation at the end of a paragraph does not cover previous sentences. For example, in the section describing the Role, Types, and Styles of GP, there are limited citations. If an entire concept is cited, a brief description in the main text with a table containing full information and sources in an attachment would be appropriate.

2. Special Needs:

The term "Special Needs" may not be preferred by self-advocates. If you use this term, please provide more references to describe the current self-advocate movement concerning this term.

3. Cultural References:

The author discusses specific cultural practices, particularly but only from India, which can confuse the reader about whether the article is culturally specific or generally applicable to English-speaking countries. Subsequent definitions appear to be derived from Western English literature.

4. Research Questions and Scope:

The research questions and scope of the study are too broad for a single article. The research questions do not seem to be actual research questions. Please clarify the study's specific focus and exclude unrelated content from the main text.

5. Theories, Paradigms, and Models:

If you wish to include these in the main text, you need to explain how they connect to the existing literature and provide recommendations for future research.

6. Tools and Measurements:

These should not be in the main text, but in an attachment.

7. Literature Synthesis:

A synthesis of current literature should be added before discussing limitations in current research and recommendations for future studies.

Looking forward to reviewing the revised version.

Thank you for your great and hard work.