

Review of: "The Students and Faculty Perception of Digital Citizenship Practices in Distance Learning Environment – The Case Study of Al-Quds Open University"

Md. Fouad Hossain Sarker¹

¹ Daffodil International University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It gives me great pleasure to review the article "**The Students and Faculty Perception of Digital Citizenship Practices in Distance Learning Environment – The Case Study of Al-Quds Open University**," which will make a significant contribution to the field of academia.

Abstract

The abstract was written in a systematic manner, beginning with the general orientation and ending with the purpose of the study, methods, key findings, and future directions.

Introduction

In the introduction, the author basically conceptualized the key words with references. I will suggest that the authors focus on providing clear background information, study motivation, and importance that make the study more worthwhile, and that it is best to ignore the use of the same word such as "become" in the text. Furthermore, I will request that the authors present a compelling argument in the final paragraph of the introduction.

Literature Review

I recommend that the author go over the "literature review" section again, keeping the following points in mind:

- will be written in a concise manner that summarizes the key findings from the selected studies, with a focus on the study key words.
- reviewing the existing literature critically.
- highlights the gaps and limitations in the last paragraph of the literature with justification

Research Objectives and Questions

The study objectives and research questions are fine, except for the first question. I think the author can rewrite the first question like this: "What are Al-Quds Open University teachers' perceptions of digital citizens when they use distance learning technology?"

Methodology

The researcher did not cover data analysis procedures for both qualitative and quantitative methods in this section; quality assurance measures such as validity and reliability are absent; and ethical issues are mostly ignored. How the scale was developed with 25 items? Any justification or reference?

Result

This section should be designed around three research questions, which will make it more valuable and easier to read. Please avoid so-called findings. Is there any regression or critical analysis that reveals the work's novelty? With some of the finding quotations, the mathematical orientation will be more readable for qualitative findings.

Discussion

In the discussion, the author must summarize the main findings and compare and contrast them with previous research, which is mostly ignored in the second part.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The author must summarize the main findings based on the research objectives, identify any limitations or future research directions, and avoid making any so-called recommendations. It must be precise.

References

Did not follow any specific reference style like APA.

- Cubukcu, A., & Bazyan, S. (2016). A Study Regarding the Perception of Digital Citizenship among Adults and the Assessment of This Perception. *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence* 7(3), 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJDLDC.2016070103>
- de la Pena-Bandalaria, M., (2007). Impact of ICTs on open and distance learning in a developing country setting: The Philippine experience. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning* 8(1), pp.1-15.