

Review of: "Acacia pycnantha gum exudates recognised as a traditional food in two countries may have economic potential"

Muhammad Nasir¹

¹ Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is well-written and well-structured. It provides state-of-the-literature about the topic and can add value to the knowledge. The article has some minor shortcomings and suggestion. The author should consider the comments of the reviewers to enhance the quality of the work.

1. The knowledge gap based on the literature as well as objectives and significance of this research should be addressed.
2. Please include a picture of a full tree in the Figures A-D.
3. The statement "Approximately 500g of gum was collected per hour from $\approx$ 20/50 trees, with $\approx$ 30g lost on cleaning to remove bark" requires clarification about how many labor/machinery involved in each process.
4. The price of GA is given as "The current retail prices (2023) for GA in Australia are AUS\$42/Kg". How about the price of studied AP gum?