

# Review of: "Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing (NIPT) for Aneuploidy in a Setting with a High Consanguineous Rate – A Retrospective Cohort Review of 1,153 Cases"

Giuseppe Gullo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ospedale Vincenzo Cervello

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I read with great interest the manuscript, which falls within the aim of this Journal. In my honest opinion, the topic is interesting enough to attract the readers' attention. Nevertheless, authors should clarify some points and improve the discussion, as suggested below. Authors should consider the following recommendations:

In my opinion you have to refer in the paper to the updated literature about the needed that if the pregnancy is from IVF PROGRAM it need a NIPT TEST AS WELL TO KNOW IF THE PREGNANCY NEEDED TO USE CONTRAST AGENT IN THE period.

I suggest you to read and cite these articles:

**Fresh vs. frozen embryo transfer in assisted reproductive techniques: a single center retrospective cohort study and ethical-legal implications**

**Fresh vs. frozen embryo transfer in assisted reproductive techniques: a single center retrospective cohort study and ethical-legal implications**

**Contrast Agents during Pregnancy: Pros and Cons When Really Needed**