

# Review of: "Culture Lockdown, Nature Freedom: Respite for Biodiversity during the COVID Pandemic – A Limited Case Study in La Union, Philippines"

A.P. Bautista<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Quezon City Polytechnic University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper has an interesting topic that should be further explored and studied in the future. The author may consider the following suggestions:

1. The title may somehow become misleading as the study focused on Kali or Brahminy kite, while the title did not mention it. Although there was a mention of "a limited case study..." Possible, the title can be restated as "A limited case study of Kali or Brahminy kite in La Union, Philippines: A respite for biodiversity during the Covid-19 pandemic"
2. The abstract should no longer mention "will present" but instead focus on the highlights of the results or findings
3. The introduction should state the significance of the study. What do we want to prove with this paper? And how will this impact both the academe/scientific community and the local communities in which the study was conducted?
4. Some of the discussion in the Methods part can be transferred to the introduction or results (e.g. Figure 4 could possibly included in the Results section). Focus and expand further on how the data was gathered and analyzed
5. The discussion of findings was quite short. Interpretation of the results vis-a-vis literature should be included to generate a more in-depth discussion.
6. The introduction should have mentioned the main research question or problem that the study would want to address. Based on this, the conclusion can be better stated. Recommendations should be included, such as policy recommendations to the government, for future researchers, etc.
7. What are the limitations of the study that should be considered in future research?

Congatulations Prof for your paper!