

Review of: "A Probability-Based Algorithm for Evaluating Climbing Difficulty Grades"

Mohammad Bagherzadeh¹

1 Urmia University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I present my comments as follows:

- 1. Improve the abstract part. Please add some information from the results of your present study.
- 2. Express the purpose of the present research in a summary.
- 3. What is the originality of the current study and the identified research gap?
- 4. Use the following references in Part 1 (Introduction) and Part 2 (Methodology).

https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2020.279

https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2022.127

https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2023.108

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-27388-1

- 5. The last paragraph of the introduction (the article on innovation) is not well written. Also, explain the difference between research and other studies by researchers.
- 6. The English language has to be improved.
- 7. How is the sensitivity analysis done?
- 8. The Materials and Methods section is long. It should be presented briefly.
- 9. Explain the method of sensitivity analysis.
- 10. The number of run models in the present study should be presented in the conclusion section.

Qeios ID: C94FQV · https://doi.org/10.32388/C94FQV