

# Review of: "Reforesting\_now"

Juliana Lovo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal da Paraíba

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an importante and interesting topic.

In spite of that, I think text should greatly improved. Below I point out some issues with the manuscript.

The abstract would be improved in order to better indicate what is the purpose of the article.

The text is written in a portuguese-like way and I am afraid it may not be readily understandable for foreing people. Therefore, I suggest a review on language would be a necessary improvement.

I don't quite understand what authors mean when they say " If anyone observes the Brazilian forest preservation area maps, one will realize that they are very distant from the social- economic activities area and by that we are saying that it is very difficult to realize what is the importance of these areas if they are just photographs and news leads for the general public.

What authors mean by saying this areas are just photographs? Moreover, you should call for a figure showing these maps you are referring to. The point of the paper is not clear at all and I think this might be due to the language translation.

Authors should also take care for always explaining abbreviations. The use of Portuguese, event in the figure legends should be avoided.

The development of the ideas is not really fluent. As an example, subtitles should be better organized in a logical way. The methodology of the research should be clearly indicated and separated from the introduction and other parts of the manuscript.

Finally, in the present form, the article does not look like a research. So, I encourage authors revising their data, discussion, and the way they present it.