

Review of: "Evaluation of the effectiveness of the collegiate system of administration at Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana"

Francis Kuma

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study has some level of novelty. Data was collected from respondents from various college administrators of the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana to investigate the collegiate system of administration of the university. However, though the study used quantitative and qualitative data collection methods, very little information is provided for the qualitative aspect of the research. There is therefore, the need for the review of data gathering methods adopted for the study. Particularly the qualitative method of data gathering. Also, there is the need for further explanation on how qualitative data was analysed and presented.

The study has contributed to the field of research particularly on the collegiate system of administration in Ghana. The objective of the study was clearly presented; however, the introduction section of the study is too lengthy and requires some review. It is also necessary that the authors explain how they plan to meet the objective of the study.

The study requires further review of adequate scholarly works to support the topic because enough literature has not been reviewed. The review of literature should be argued out critically instead of merely presenting them.

The clarity of presentation is missing because the method of analysis is not clearly stated. Tools used for analysing the qualitative data must be clearly stated and presented with supporting tables and illustrations.

Furthermore, the presentations at the discussions section are not supported by analysed data and the findings have not been argued out properly. There is the need to support the findings with analysed data based on the objective of the study. The bullet points in the section should be deleted. The recommendations should be embedded in the conclusion

The quality of the paper is average and it is recommended for publication after the necessary corrections.