

Review of: "Safe City Concept in Smart City Planning"

Ioannis Vardopoulos¹

¹ Harokopion University of Athens

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract:

- Include 1-2 sentences clearly stating the gap in knowledge or problem this study aims to address.
- Add more specifics on the methodology - e.g. comparative case study approach, analysis using safety indicators
- Specify the number of Indian smart city cases reviewed and how recommendations were derived.

Introduction:

- Elaborate on problems observed in integration of safe cities in India - are there observed gaps, issues, or challenges?
- State research questions or objectives clearly at the end.
- Follow with a short and concise literature review: Synthesize information to highlight 3-4 key takeaways rather than summarizing definitions. Identify 2-3 specific gaps in prior research on this topic that the current study intends to address. Explain how the current study builds on and extends previous knowledge.
- The study could benefit from a more detailed literature review, particularly in terms of existing research on smart, resilient, safe cities. Including more references to relevant studies would strengthen the argument and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Methodology:

- Provide more details on how the safety strategies were analyzed - was there a framework used for coding or assessment?
- Explain how the specific Indian cities were selected as cases to analyze, and why. Why Indian cities?
- Describe any limitations of the selected approach.

Case Studies:

- Structure each case analysis using defined safety indicators as consistent sub-sections.
- Compare cases against safety city indicators using a rubric or metrics for level of implementation.

Analysis & Findings:

- Expand on findings related to gaps - discuss 2-3 priority areas lacking safety strategies.
- Compare findings to literature - do results confirm or diverge from previous research?

Discussion & Conclusion:

- Acknowledge limitations of the study methodology and scope of cases examined.
- Provide 3 specific recommendations for improving safety integration in smart city planning.
- Discuss 2-3 areas for further research needed based on the study limitations and gaps.

Please restructure the manuscript and avoid all this section and subsections. Use a simple flow and tables.

Please try to use the figure you have made on your own. Avoid using figures used as found by a difference source.

Please use table function. Don't insert tables in text as images.

Please note these are suggestions for consideration and not definitive recommendations. I aim to provide constructive feedback to strengthen this work, but I recognize you may have reasonable counters to some points. Please focus primarily on the overall insights rather than feeling compelled to provide point-by-point responses.

Thank you again for allowing me to review this intriguing research.

I believe the revisions proposed can contribute to enhancing an already compelling manuscript.

Best of luck as you continue developing this timely work.

The overall use of English in the paper is clear and effectively conveys the technical concepts and information. However, there are a few instances where sentence structures could be refined for greater clarity and flow. Reviewing the text for minor grammatical errors and ensuring consistent use of terminology would further enhance the readability and coherence of the paper. Overall, the language is understandable and proficient, contributing to the effective communication of the research findings.