

Review of: ""Brainets" Shaping The Embodied Activities"

Francesco Sticchi¹

¹ Oxford Brookes University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I think the article present a very effective and constructive case against too enthusiastic enactivist takes and I would be happy also to chat with the authors in order to discuss these points further. I think that taking into account, for instance, more recent texts such as Enactivist Interventions by Shaun Gallagher or Gregory Bateson's work could also be interesting for this discussion (not for the sake of the completion of this paper as such). On the other hand, I suggest thorough revisions in order to avoid a bit of repetitions and to clarify some passages. The text is very well written and fluid (apart from being logically interconnected and integrated), but some proofreading and revisions, here and there, would help in increasing the effectiveness and clarity of the text.

The main point about the risks of relying on a naïve notion of the body or of "ecosystems" (against all forms of cognition and abstract formulation), but revisions in terms of the fluidity of text can be undertaken. I stress again my willingness to discuss with the authors.

As recommended by the first marker as well, check all citations (format and info).