

Review of: "Tweeting AI: A Machine Learning Approach for Bird Species Detection and Classification"

Kalyan Kumar Jena

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors should go through the following review points to improve the quality of the manuscript.

1. Abstract section should be improved.
2. Introduction section should be expanded by maintaining the proper work flow.
3. The related works section should be improved by briefly describing minimum 15-20 recent relevant works with their identified gaps in a separate table.
4. The methodology section should be improved by describing the concerned workflow diagram, algorithms, methods, etc. with their mathematical implications.
5. The authors should add more graphs, tables, figures, etc. in the results and discussion section to improve the quality of the manuscript.
6. All the figures should be replaced with their corresponding high resolution figures and in proper format.
7. The conclusion section should be improved.
8. The authors should mention the future scope of this work clearly.
9. The authors should add some more recent relevant references in the references section and cite them properly in the text.
10. The authors should go through the entire manuscript and remove all the typo/grammatical errors from it.