

Review of: "Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Clinical Quality: A Network-DEA approach"

Sinimole K R

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I appreciate the efforts of the author. The paper is well-written.

Some of my observations are

I agree with the argument that; to evaluate clinical quality with multiple measures, structure, process and outcome of care should be considered together because of their effects on each other. The author can make the argument stronger by supporting it with more literature.

How did the authors identify the measures determined to affect the clinical quality of CABG surgery? (input). What is the literature support for it?

Even though the author has talked about NDEA, it is unclear why they choose NDEA over DEA.

Moreover, the authors can include a paragraph on the assumptions of DEA and the areas where the authors need to be careful while applying DEA.

Qeios ID: CF1ZAB · https://doi.org/10.32388/CF1ZAB