

## Review of: "Analysis of the Spread of Covid-19 via Atangana-Baleanu Fractional Derivatives"

Noemi Zeraick Monteiro<sup>1</sup>

1 Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The proposed model is intriguing, and I anticipate significant results from the authors. However, I have some important questions to raise:

- 1. The statement "This motivates our choice of the Atangana-Baleanu derivative in our reformulated model" lacks justification.
- 2. About Remark 2: once the point a is fixed in Lemma 1, I believe that Remark 2 is not valid. Please see Section 2.2 in <a href="https://rdcu.be/c0TGZ">https://rdcu.be/c0TGZ</a>, in which it is discussed that the sign of the fractional derivative does not imply monotonicity. I never worked with the ABD derivative, but I think it couldn't work as well. It is crucial to investigate this, as it is utilized in your Step 1 Theorem 1.
- 3. The equilibrium point in your Section 4 indicates that all compartments are empty. However, I understand that the total population N remains constant. Specifically, from Eq. (2), I=S=E1=0 in the equilibrium, but E2, D, R, and Q can assume any value (and should to sum up N), right?
- 4. In the results, I couldn't understand where you use the information "average life expectancy was 76.79 years [...] the estimated mortality rate is  $\mu = 0.0048$ ."
- 5. Is the lambda that varies in each figure lambda3?
- 6. Can you ensure that the pink line in figures 5-8 will not approach infinity?
- 7. The paper contains several typos and requires revision.

I am confident that the authors can yield significant results by addressing these concerns. Good luck!

Qeios ID: CFW8TG · https://doi.org/10.32388/CFW8TG