

# Review of: "Visualizing the Mind: A Deep Dive into Computer Vision and Psychological Phenomena"

Mirkan Emir Sancak<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Gebze Technical University, Turkey

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The literature review could benefit from more depth. While it mentions studies like the use of facial verification in ride-hail work, it seems somewhat disconnected from the broader narrative of computer vision and psychology. More references to foundational works in both fields, or studies that specifically focus on the interaction between human cognition and machine learning models, would enhance the scholarly depth of the review.

The technical aspects of how computer vision models are applied could be expanded. For example, a more detailed explanation of the algorithms and neural networks mentioned would help readers from a technical background better understand the complexities involved in replicating human vision.

Although the paper attempts to link psychology with computer vision, the psychological theories or frameworks discussed are not deeply explored. To strengthen the psychological side, it would be useful to reference more psychological theories of perception and cognition and discuss how they align with computer vision methods.

While the case studies are valuable, they could be further expanded by discussing not only the successes but also the limitations and challenges of each system. How do these systems compare with traditional psychological methods? Are there gaps where human intervention or interpretation is still needed?

Including visual aids like diagrams or flowcharts could enhance the reader's understanding, particularly when explaining complex processes such as deep learning models or how the interaction between vision and cognition is modeled in artificial intelligence.

The paper would benefit from a section on future directions or research opportunities in this intersectional field. This could include discussions on how advancements in AI could further revolutionize psychology or predictions about the long-term integration of these technologies in various fields.

At times, the writing is dense, and certain sentences feel overly complex. Simplifying some explanations, especially when discussing technical concepts, would make the paper more accessible to a broader audience. Additionally, more transitions between sections would help maintain a smooth flow.

To strengthen the connection between computer vision and psychology in the paper, the authors should explore several psychological theories and frameworks:

1. Gestalt Theory of Perception: Explains how humans naturally organize visual elements, which can inform how machines interpret visual data.
2. Theory of Mind: Focuses on understanding others' emotions and intentions, relevant for emotion recognition in AI.
3. Information Processing Theory: Likens human cognition to a computer, useful for discussing how machines process visual information.
4. Emotional Intelligence Theories: Helps guide the recognition of emotions in computer vision systems.
5. Cognitive Load Theory: Can inform how machines process visual data under stress or multitasking conditions.
6. Appraisal Theory of Emotions: Explains how emotions are formed from evaluations of situations, applicable to emotion detection in images.
7. Perceptual Learning Theory: Mirrors how machines improve pattern recognition with experience, similar to human perceptual learning.
8. Dual-Process Theory: Suggests that machines could mimic both fast intuitive recognition and slower, deliberate analysis, akin to human thinking.
9. James-Lange Theory of Emotion: Relevant for computer vision systems detecting physiological changes to infer emotions.
10. Visual Attention Theory: Explains how humans focus on visual features, which parallels how machines detect objects.

These theories would deepen the interdisciplinary discussion between psychology and AI, enhancing the paper's contribution.