

Review of: "Impact of medical, surgical and expectant management on spontaneous miscarriage/abortion on first trimester: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials"

Gulzhanat Aimagambetova¹

¹ Nazarbayev University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The title is too long. Should be rephrased to a more concise but informative option.

2. The abstract needs improvement to represent the study in a more clear way.

THE OVERALL TEXT REQUIRED PROFOUND ENGLISH EDITING TO IMPROVE GRAMMA AND STYLE!

3. The introduction provides a rationale to the study.

4. Results are interesting and supported by tables and figures. However, using Excel RoB tool for bias assessment is strongly suggested.

5. The discussion part is very narrow and does not properly discuss the study data. Outline for the Discussion part

1.1 Rationale of the study (why it was done)

1.1.1 Main findings of the study

1.1.2 What makes your study unique

1.1.3 What it adds to what we already know

1.2 Study subjects

1.3 Subject of the discussion

Comparison of your results with previous studies in the field. Agreement and disagreement with the studies compared

1.4 Study strengths and limitations

1.5 Clinical implication

6. The study's strengths and limitations should be stated in more detail.

7. The authors performed a very interesting study, and thus the conclusion should be formulated.

