

Review of: "Building Urban Resilience through Mega-Events: A Systematic Review using Text Mining and Natural Language Processing (NLP)"

Alireza Sharifi1

1 Shahid Rajaee Teacher Training University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is indeed interesting, but it needs further scientific editing. I believe that addressing these suggestions will greatly enhance the quality and impact of your paper. If you have any questions or need further clarification on any of the points mentioned above, please feel free to reach out.

- The abstract should be rewritten.
- The authors should clearly state the objectives of the study, including the specific goals of the proposed method and the analysis of the model's performance. This will help readers understand the purpose of the research.
- This article introduces the research of multiple scholars and explains their research methods. However, the literature section can emphasize the shortcomings of various methods, which is enough to shift to newly proposed methods. It is better to add the following references to enrich the work:

10.1007/s12524-014-0423-3

10.1002/jsfa.10568

10.3390/agriculture12010118

10.1007/s12524-019-01057-8

10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3239756

10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3242310

- Mention the source and characteristics of the data.
- The author's analysis of the selection of research methods is insufficient. Basically, the author directly explains what method to use in the main text, but the author does not explain why to choose this method. Or conduct theoretical analysis in advance. The author must supplement this aspect.
- The proposed method suggests adding more details.
- The tables should be analyzed more qualitatively in the Results and Discussion section.
- The experiments should be given more to show the effectiveness of the proposed method.
- What have been the limitations of your work?