Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "Metacognition and Pedagogy in the Era of Artificial Intelligence"

Dr. Soumi Ghosh[1]

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Information Technology, Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Technology, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi, India, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi, India

Title and Abstract: The title, *"Metacognition and Pedagogy in the Era of Artificial Intelligence,"* accurately reflects the paper's focus on how metacognitive practices relate to modern educational challenges posed by AI. The abstract succinctly summarizes the main themes, emphasizing the importance of metacognition, the limitations of traditional pedagogy, and proposed strategies for integrating metacognitive approaches in education amidst AI advancements.

Rating: 8/10

Introduction: The introduction clearly delineates the emerging challenges in education due to AI's rapid development, emphasizing the need for a new pedagogical approach centered on metacognition. It provides sufficient background on the transformation of the labor market and educational demands, setting a compelling context. The objectives—advocating for metacognitive practices to adapt to this landscape—are explicitly articulated, underscoring their significance.

Rating: 8.5/10

Methodology: The paper is conceptual and theoretical, focusing on literature review, analysis of current trends, and pedagogical guidelines rather than empirical data collection. The approaches discussed—such as implementing self-assessment, reflection, and visualization tools—are appropriate given the aim to propose educational strategies. However, the manuscript lacks empirical validation or case study data, which limits the rigor of its claims. Recognizing potential limitations, such as the need for empirical testing, would strengthen the methodology section.

Rating: 6.5/10

Results: Since this is a review and conceptual paper, traditional results are not presented via data or experiments. Instead, findings are synthesized through thematic discussion of practices, challenges, and

 doi.org/10.32388/CKA4CP

proposed strategies. These are articulated clearly and align well with the paper's objectives, though visual aids like tables or figures could enhance clarity—only minimal visuals are included in the manuscript.

Rating: 7/10

Discussion: The discussion effectively interprets the importance of adopting metacognitive approaches, linking them to broader societal and technological shifts. It underscores how these practices can foster autonomous, adaptable learners capable of facing AI-driven changes. The implications for education are well-explained, and the debate about human versus AI cognition is thoughtfully developed. Better elaboration on implementation challenges and empirical support would deepen the discussion.

Rating: 8/10

References: References are relevant and current, including seminal works on AI and learning analytics. Citation formatting appears consistent; however, some references lack detailed bibliographic information, and a few citations in the text could be cross-verified for completeness. Overall, the reference list supports the content adequately.

Rating: 7/10

Clarity and Writing: The manuscript is generally well-written, with clear language and logical flow. The organization into sections facilitates understanding, though some paragraphs could be more concise. Certain terminologies might benefit from simplified explanations for broader accessibility. Minor grammatical adjustments could improve readability.

Rating: 7.5/10

Overall Impression: The paper addresses a highly relevant and timely topic, providing valuable insights into pedagogical adaptations for the AI era. Its conceptual approach effectively synthesizes current debates and offers practical strategies. Nonetheless, integrating empirical evidence or case studies would strengthen its impact. The manuscript makes a meaningful contribution to discussions on future education and metacognition.

Recommendation: Accept with revisions (particularly adding empirical validation and clarifying visuals).

Overall Rating: 7.5/10

Additional Comments:

- Incorporate real-world examples or case studies to substantiate proposed strategies.
- Improve clarity by including visual summaries or tables of key practices.

- Clarify some technical terms for audiences outside the immediate field of AI and education.
- Address potential implementation barriers, such as teacher training or resource availability.

To strengthen the paper, incorporating specific empirical data and case studies, along with visual aids, can enhance clarity and persuasive power.

Empirical Data or Case Studies:

Longitudinal Studies on Metacognitive Interventions: Data showing the impact of metacognitive strategies (like self-questioning, reflection journals, or graphic organizers) on student learning outcomes over time. For example, studies tracking improvements in academic performance and self-regulation before and after implementing these practices.

Experimental or Quasi-Experimental Research: Controlled studies comparing classrooms using traditional teaching methods versus those integrating metacognitive practices, measuring variables such as retention rates, critical thinking skills, and student engagement.

Learning Analytics Data: Case studies where digital footprints from online learning platforms were analyzed to tailor personalized learning pathways, demonstrating the effectiveness of AI-assisted metacognitive monitoring.

Case Studies of AI in Education: Examples where AI systems have been designed to support metacognitive development—such as AI tutors providing reflective prompts or feedback—and their outcomes in real educational settings.

Visual Aids to Clarify Strategies:

Flowcharts or Diagrams: Illustrate the cycle of metacognitive strategies (e.g., planning, monitoring, evaluating), showing how students engage in self-assessment, reflection, and adjustment throughout learning tasks.

Mind Maps and Concept Maps: Visualize how graphic organizers can structure knowledge, demonstrating the relationship between concepts and supporting reflection.

Infographics: Summarize key practices, their purposes, and benefits in a visually engaging way, making complex ideas more accessible.

Before-and-After Comparison Charts: Show student progress or engagement metrics pre- and post-implementation of specific strategies, highlighting their effectiveness.

Interactive Components (if digital): Incorporate embedded videos or animations demonstrating classroom scenarios employing metacognitive practices, providing concrete examples of teaching strategies.

Integrating these types of data, case studies, and visual tools would substantiate the claims, demonstrate real-world applicability, and enhance the paper's accessibility and impact.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.