

# Review of: "Exploring the Experiences of Physical Therapists on Assessment and Management of Pelvic Floor Disorders Among Women in Rehabilitation Centers in Metro Manila: A Qualitative Descriptive Study"

Tammy-Lee Pretorius<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of the Western Cape

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you to the authors for this interesting and novel study protocol. I look forward to the results and discussion. Please see my comments below for your consideration.

Introduction requires prevalence rates of PFDs globally in addition to the already mentioned statistic in the Philippines.

Methodology, under participant selection, "such as limiting access to the group only": Are you referring to the researchers? Please clarify.

Methodology, under participant selection, "noble" - do you mean novel?

In Table 1, refrain from using the term "PFD patients"; instead, refer to "patients with PFD."

Should question 3 not be divided into two questions? One can react differently to an assessment as opposed to a treatment.

I think it would be beneficial if you had probing questions for each key question; it allows for a more uniformed approach to the interview, ensuring that you obtain the right information from the interviewees based on each question. It is something to think about.

Data analysis: under scientific rigor, one cannot prove that the research findings would be applicable in other contexts; you can only provide information that may make applicability possible.