Review of: "Bibliometric analysis and current status of Leishmaniasis research indexed in Scopus, 2010 -2023"

João Dias-Ferreira[1]

1 University of Porto

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

> Title

- The title effectively communicates the aim of the study, providing a clear indication of the article's focus.

> Authors and Affiliations

- The authors are appropriately credited for their contributions to the research, and their affiliations are provided, which lends credibility to the study. However, it would be beneficial to include brief author biographies to further establish their expertise in the field of leishmaniasis research.

> Abstract

- The abstract succinctly summarizes the purpose, methods, key findings, and implications of the study. It effectively captures the essence of the research and provides a useful overview for readers.

> Introduction

- The introduction provides necessary background information on leishmaniasis, contextualizing its significance as a Neglected Tropical Disease (NTD). It effectively sets the stage for the study by highlighting the global burden of leishmaniasis and the need for research exploration.

> Materials and Methods

- The materials and methods section outlines the study's approach, including the search strategy, database used, and data analysis techniques. It provides sufficient detail to understand how the study was conducted, enhancing the transparency and reproducibility of the research.

> Results

- The results section presents the findings of the bibliometric analysis, including publication trends, leading journals, countries, and collaborations. It effectively synthesizes the data, highlighting key patterns and trends in leishmaniasis research.

> Conclusion

- The conclusion summarizes the main findings of the study and offers insights into the implications for future research and collaboration efforts. It effectively ties back to the study's objectives and provides actionable recommendations for addressing research gaps in leishmaniasis.

> **Figures and Tables**

- The article includes figures and tables to visually represent key findings, such as publication trends and collaborations. These visual aids enhance understanding and facilitate interpretation of the data presented in the study.

> **References**

- The references are appropriately cited throughout the article, providing credibility and supporting the study's findings. However, it would be beneficial to ensure that all references are relevant and up-to-date to strengthen the research's validity.

> **Potential Areas for Improvement**

- While the article offers valuable insights into leishmaniasis research, some areas could be further improved. Firstly, providing a more detailed analysis of specific research themes and emerging areas of interest within leishmaniasis research could enrich the study's findings. Additionally, discussing challenges faced in low-resource settings and proposing practical solutions for addressing research gaps would enhance the article's relevance and impact. Lastly, including brief author biographies could strengthen the credibility of the study by highlighting the authors' expertise in the field.

> **Overall Evaluation**

- The article provides a thorough analysis of leishmaniasis research trends using bibliometric methods. It effectively addresses each section, from the introduction to the conclusion, and presents the findings in a clear and concise manner. The inclusion of figures and tables enhances the presentation of data, while the references support the study's credibility. Overall, the article makes a valuable contribution to the field of leishmaniasis research and provides useful insights for future studies and collaborative efforts in addressing this neglected tropical disease.