Review of: "Skilling Up for Tomorrow's Cities: The Workforce of Smart Cities"

Mladen Amovic

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this article.

Before publication, you need to make some improvements and clarifications.

You need to explain the methodological part in more detail. Also, the part of related works needs to be defined according to the topics used in the methodology.

The methodology needs to be explained in more detail, first of all, why this particular one was chosen, since there are numerous divisions of this type in the concept of smart cities. This needs to be supported by research in order to establish a methodological basis.

The conclusion needs to be explained in more detail and supported by an analysis of the conclusions from the methodology.

In the definition of technical occupations, you did not mention GIS analyst.

The EU, in their document "SMART CITIES AND COMMUNITIES/TECHNOLOGIES AND SERVICES FOR SMART AND EFFICIENT ENERGY USE," emphasizes the important role of the smart city concept, including big data and geospatial data. They mention that operational guidelines on modelling of real-world systems in ways that are extensible and shareable so that Smart City engineers and technical decision-makers have blueprints to speed their work and ease re-use of Big Data.

Also, the Copernicus EU program plays an important role in Copernicus services and geospatial data products, with environmental data modeling and geocomputation in smart cities management.

In the article, you focused on the specialization of the people who will work in the development and management of the smart city. Maybe you need to make additional analyses of the education backgrounds for the types of specializations you mentioned. What is the existing situation for these profiles, and how much do we need to have them?