

Review of: "Integrating Community Service in Language Education: Fostering Social Change via Inclusive and Transformative Learning Experiences"

John Simango¹

¹ University of Witwatersrand

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper depicts research skills and the content is valuable in bridging the gap between institution of education and the society at large. It is more valuable because it discussed inclusivity and transformation, concepts that are extremely useful in education and society.

The introduction explains everything about the study, but a research problem or rationale section which provide the valid reasons for the study would guide the audience so that they see its depth and value just at the beginning of the study.

The methodology section needs more discussion so that the readers know what research design, method, contextual background and anything else you may need to add on this section. If you conducted, for example, an empirical research, were there observations and interviews of the subjects? What methods of selection did you use to select the subjects?

The theoretical/conceptual framework section is also crucial. The information in this regard is already there. The author may just put the heading and discuss more on this section. Show the connection between the topic and the theoretical/conceptual framework of your choice, which you did to a minimal extent. The discussions on the findings and the conclusion is relevant. The findings can be more relevant if there is a strong methodology for collecting data, which in turn could be critically analyzed to reach the conclusion.

The study is valuable, the author need to add something new to the existing knowledge on the topic. The paper can be published after the author engaged with the recommendations as provided on this review.