

Review of: "An Investigation of The Phytochemical Richness of Fresh Musa Paradisiaca L. (Plantain) Stem Juice and Its Anticonvulsant Potential on Pentylenetetrazole (Ptz)-Challenged Rats"

Ifeoma Chukwuma¹

1 University of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have reviewed the manuscript objectively and made the following recommendations

- 1. The LD 50 and phytochemical screening should come in the method section of the abstract first before the in vivo study.
- 2. An identification number for the plant is required to back up this claim.
- 3. Write the sex of the animals.
- 4. All the tables need to be formatted using the 'Table object' function in your word processing program.
- 5. What is the sign for "not detected" in Table 1?
- 6. The experimental groups should be written in sentence form in the results section.
- 7. State limitations and propose further studies.
- 8. If more than one reference is to be given to the same sentence, the references should be given in chronological order (from past to present).

Qeios ID: CROW0E · https://doi.org/10.32388/CROW0E