

Review of: "A Probability-Based Algorithm for Evaluating Climbing Difficulty Grades"

Puja Supakar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have some observations regarding the revision version of the proposed manuscript which are given below:

- 1. Abstract and Conclusion sections should be improved.
- 2. What are the closely related articles, and how does this study contrast? Add a table in the literature review to justify the answer.
- 1. Give motivations and novelties in the introduction section. It can be added as a subsection.
- 2. Highlight the more applications of the proposed study.
- 3. Please highlight the contributions at the end of the introduction using a bullet point list.
- 4. Enhance the managerial insight by including a real-case study of your study.
- 5. Authors should include some discussions on the limitations of the proposed work.

Qeios ID: CU1WH8 · https://doi.org/10.32388/CU1WH8