

Review of: "Weathering changes – livelihood adaptation to weather shocks in rural India by disadvantaged social groups"

Adeniyi Okanlawon Basiru¹

¹ Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

GENERAL COMMENTS

GENERAL COMMENT ON ABSTRACT

1. This abstract is WATERY! Elements of quality abstract is missing such as Introduction of general problems, study objectives, methods of data collection, data analysis packages and presentation of results format, conclusion and recommendation.
 2. Avoid using "We" the readers already knows that few people conducted the research
 3. The absratct DID NOT MENTION ONLY ONE FIGURE to back the results.
 4. No conclusion
 5. Recommendation not clearly aligned with the objectives
- The references that needs to be italize should be done
 - The introduction is too many, it must be reduced significantly. Likewise, it doesn't follow the introdcution structure
 - The author(s) do not know how to cite in-text reference
 - I don't think **RESEARCH QUESTION** is necessary here (But final approval lies with journal)
 - Materials and Methods must includes: study area climate, vegetation, topography e.t.c
 - Methods of data collection needs to explain explicitly
 - The authors did not followed the correct procedure of inserting Tables in the text
 - The authors were just mixing the data collection, results and discussion together, it was not clear
 - The figures werenot clear with the legends
 - The formula were not adequately written
 - The authors were confused by bringing methodoloy into results again, these needs to be separated
 - Authors do not know howto cite in test reference
 - The presentation of both results and discussion is very poor, authors must work on it
 - Conclusion was too long and it should be devoid of references again. Conclusion must be concise and accurate of your results.
 - This is not best way to insert Tables in a journal article

