

Review of: "Mastering Artifact Correction in Neuroimaging Analysis: A Retrospective Approach"

Pranaba K. Mishro¹

¹ Department of EI&TC Engg., Veer Surendra Sai University of Technology, India

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors are advised to incorporate/address the queries/suggestions in the revised manuscript

1. What are the technical contributions of the manuscript?
2. At the end of the introduction section, there should be a paragraph that describes the progression of the manuscript.
3. The abbreviation MOANA is not defined anywhere.
4. The authors used simulation and transformer as blocks in the flowcharts; they should be written with proper nomenclature.
5. The authors mentioned only the advantages of the proposed method in terms of quantitative parameters; however, the technical contributions are missing.
6. The authors incorporated synthetic noise in the image. Why did the authors not prefer a noise image with intensity inhomogeneity for their validation?
7. How did the authors validate the proposed method using the real clinical images?
8. The literature in the references is very poorly chosen. Most of them are irrelevant to the proposed method. Further, the references are not written in a single format. The authors are advised to choose proper literature and cite them properly.
9. There are many more English grammar errors. The authors must rectify them.