

Review of: "Sacred Plants and Their Miraculous or Healing Properties"

Saraswati Patel¹

1 Saveetha University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The abstract lacks clarity in defining terms like "sacred plants," "mystical experiences," and "ecstatic trance," which could lead to ambiguity.
- 2. Broad generalizations about psychoactive plants' roles in medicine, religion, and ritual life without specific examples or evidence weaken the abstract's argument.
- 3. The abstract overlooks the deep cultural significance and indigenous knowledge associated with psychoactive plants, missing an opportunity for a more thorough exploration.
- 4. Terms like "badly called 'hallucinogenic' plants" reflect bias and should acknowledge differing viewpoints within scientific and cultural communities.
- 5. The abstract covers a wide array of topics, from ritual to biological effects, which may benefit from a more focused approach for clarity and coherence.
- 6. While mentioning the latest research, the abstract lacks critical engagement and fails to discuss significance or limitations.
- 7. The introduction makes sweeping statements without specific examples or sources, weakening its credibility.
- 8. It lacks specificity and examples to support claims about hallucinogenic plants' historical significance.
- 9. While mentioning cultural and historical contexts, the introduction lacks depth and analysis.
- 10. The introduction's language may bias perspectives on hallucinogenic plants, failing to acknowledge diverse viewpoints.
- 11. There's a lack of balanced discussion on the risks and benefits of hallucinogenic plant use in the introduction.
- 12. The introduction overlooks ethical considerations, including cultural appropriation and sustainability issues.