

Review of: "Cultural and Regional Influences on Global AI Apprehension"

John McClellan Marshall¹

¹ Independent researcher

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The "Conclusion" portion of the paper clearly addresses the underlying "translational" problem of the global reaction to AI. It simply is no surprise that the bulk of the literature is Western in origin and English in presentation, but that does not make AI an instrument of "colonialization" in the modern world. While this reviewer is an AI-skeptic, the reality is that AI is here to stay and will likely expand its influence during the next decade beyond anything that we can currently imagine. The article points out the various reactions to AI from major portions of the world, noting without direct mention the political and socio-economic climate of the reactors as a factor in the reaction of a given area. There is perhaps an overemphasis on the "colonial" background, particularly in Africa and Latin America, as that is almost an "excuse" for those areas not moving forward in the AI world. Again, this is more of a translational issue than a historical one that can be overcome by education as to how AI can alter the socio-economic situation of an area for the better, as noted in Japan. Overall, the article presents the "translational" impact of AI very well and with ample materials for reference.