

Review of: "Addressing the High Incidence of Eye Trauma in Conflict: A Critical Analysis of Recent Events in Bangladesh"

Tuan Hairulnizam Tuan Kamauzaman¹

¹ Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Methodology:

It is not clear from the manuscript how the data is extracted. Is it from newspaper reports or medical records? The author stated: 'The study employed a retrospective design, extracting data from newspaper reports from approximately 11,000 medical records in two Bangladeshi hospitals'. I suggest rewriting the methodology.

If the data were extracted from newspapers or social media,

Results:

1. The author mentioned that most of the cases were from non-lethal weapons. It would be helpful for readers if the author could give examples of such weapons.
2. The statement '55% of these injuries affected the head, neck, or face' is confusing. The detail of how it is affected is lacking.

Discussion:

1. The discussion lacks focus on the results of the current study. The author spent too much time on the results of other studies instead.
2. The author states that the incidence of ocular trauma is on the rise in the conclusion. This is not evidenced in the current work. Therefore, this conclusion is not substantiated.

Figure/graph:

1. Figure 1 is not cited in the text.