

Review of: "Balancing Well-being and Social Harmony. An Interdisciplinary Educational Approach"

Ngozi Perpetua Osuchukwu¹

¹ Nnamdi Azikiwe University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is a bit technical and does not put an average person into perspective of what the focus is all about. I suggest making it reader-friendly as it is not core science that requires unnecessary jargon.

That first paragraph does not sit very well in the paper. Explaining the difference between core science and social science in that lengthy discussion is boring and seems to distract the reader. The authors should rather focus on their work and leave unnecessary things out of it.

I reckon it is a report of an activity in a class, not purely an empirical research. The report is not bad. It is the activity they carried out that they reported. Those are their words.

This work needs to be properly edited. There are cases of missing prepositions and tenses.

I also wonder why "authentic development" should make the keywords. I saw it was mentioned further down the article. Does that warrant its position in the keywords? I think not.

Generally, it is not bad work. It is publishable if the corrections are done.

All the best.