

Review of: "Mobile Phone Recycling and Stockpiling Behaviour in the UAE: A Gender and Age Study"

Hoang T.P.M. Le

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for inviting me to review this article. Generally, the manuscript was done very well, there are still some remaining points that need to revise to increase the quality of the paper.

- Quality of communication: there are still several errors through the manuscript such as typos. Please proofread and correct them.
- · Abstract:

The data analysis method should be provided.

What is the theoretical contribution of this work?

Numbers of statistics seem unnecessary in the abstract. You may remove these numbers to focus on main ideas of the manuscript.

• Introduction:

The introduction is quite weak because it only focuses on the essence of the mobile industry in the UAE as well as the importance of recycling of e-waste.

The following questions need to be addressed:

Why is the demographic analysis necessary to this study?

Why using only these two frameworks but not others? How important constructs in the model are?

What are the research questions and research gap?

• Literature review:

This part is one of the most important section in a research paper but it is missing now, so there are no literature review for hypotheses development. No theoretical foundations.

· Research method:

The reference of Cochran (1977) is missing.



Why did the authors choose the non-probability sampling self-selection to collect data? It is not a good approach for data collection because it is easy to fall in the selection bias. To avoid this, a

Data collection needs to add more details: online or paper survey? Measure development process? Translation process? Pilot test? Who are target respondents?

Data analysis methods? Why use PLS?

• Results and data analysis

In figure 1, hypotheses are added on the paths but there is no result for checking them.

· Discussion and conclusion

Discussion is quite simple, particularly practical implication.

Theoretical implication is missing, the author may add a comparison of findings with other relevant research in the same topic. An emphasis on the contribution of this research from results should be added.

There is no limitation section and suggestions for further research.