

Review of: "Biological Parenthood and Reproductive Technologies"

Amarpreet Kaur¹

¹ University of Birmingham

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I was invited to review this article and I fully intended to do so. However, I made it part-way through the article before realising that this is not an academically sound article. Nonetheless, I will share the feedback I started drafting. The other reviews appear to have covered other concerns so I do not need to repeat these.

- There are far more problems with reproductive technologies than just biological parenthood.
- A good place to start the introduction would be to define reproductive technologies – and IVF.
- I would argue that IVF does not make becoming parents easier for vulnerable groups – it is just another choice/option.
- The 2 points do read well – the argument in these is hard to decipher. I would reword these so that your argument is clearer.
- The argument in this article has potential but at present it lacks refinement and clarity. Currently, the argument reads quite repetitively and needs to be far more critical.