

Review of: "Determinants of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) Sustainable Development in Africa"

Emmanuel Carsamer

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

The abstract is too lengthy. In the abstract, author(s) said "Both primary data," but the sentence is incomplete. Also, correction needs to be made to "survey questionnaires." We do not know if it refers to the number of participants or two different sets of questions. Both descriptive and inferential statistics have been used to analyze the data, yet we have results for ONLY inferential statistics.

Introduction

In the 4th paragraph, the 2 works' titles have problems—authors should cross-check.

Sustainability is operationalized in this work to aid understanding.

Literature

In the literature review, at 2.1, paragraph 1 on definition, paragraph 2 on problems, and paragraph 3 on definitions, please look at them again and do proper reorganization.

For instance, which one—the Ethiopia government definition or the World Bank definition? What is your own or adopted definition? The work covers 54 countries, but only examples come from South Africa and Ethiopia. Evidence at 2.2 indicates the work is for Ethiopia only.

Language

Proper proofreading is needed; check 2.3 hypothesis one/null. At 4.1, sampling and response rate are not matching.

Methods

The methodology is too infantile. The authors ought to rewrite the methods again.

For instance, "purposive for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs)," we need to know why that option. At 4.1, sampling and response rate are not matching.

The dependent variable (DV) and how it was measured are not known or stated. The independent variables too, we do not know how they were measured.

Because of the operationalisation of the variables, table 4.2 is likely to result in multi-collinearity.

Tables 2, 4, and 5 indicate spurious regression - they should learn how qtive analysis is done.