

Review of: "Molluscum Contagiosum: Case Report in A 10-Year Period (2008-2017)"

Maria Clara Falcão Ribeiro de Assis¹

¹ University of Campinas (Unicamp)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is quite interesting and presents relevant data.

The writing is very clear and direct.

Some information about the literature review could be complemented; here are the points to be reconsidered:

- It was not clear what the treatment protocols are for Molluscum Contagiosum. I think it is ideal to show what the gold standard is and what other alternative treatments exist, emphasizing how long it lasts and what is expected at each stage of treatment.
- It would be interesting to talk about unusual forms of contagion, especially in the case of immunocompromised patients, such as one of the patients in the research.
- As the study specifically talks about female patients, because the research center is gynecological, I suggest that during the discussion, comparisons should be made regarding the disease between the sexes, not only epidemiologically, but also regarding clinical characteristics and treatment.
- To talk more about the ways to prevent the disease and to review whether the use of the condom barrier method is really a valid form of prevention, considering the sites of the lesions in the patients in the study.
- Were there any significant differences between patients with and without children?
- It would be interesting to talk about the problem of recurrence among patients and whether there is a difference between immunocompromised and immunocompetent patients.

Congratulations on your work; it is very good. I believe that with the changes suggested above, it will become excellent,