

Review of: "Rates and Reasons for Relapse of Pulmonary Tuberculosis in Adults — “Case of the MUYA Urban Health Zone”"

Shadreck Mwenya¹

¹ Ministry of Health

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a very good study with great potential to change practice; however, the authors need to make the following changes before the study is published:

1. **INTRODUCTION:** The authors wrote “...the endemic of AIDS” instead of “...the pandemic of AIDS”.
2. **METHODOLOGY:** The authors did well by indicating that the study was a cross-sectional design and also explained how the participants were sampled; however, the abbreviations CSDT should be written in full.
3. **RESULTS:** The results section is well documented; however, the abbreviations BBT and BCT should be written in full. In addition, some sentences are written in the present tense as opposed to the past tense.
4. **DISCUSSION:** The findings are well narrated; however, the authors wrote “shows” instead of “showed,” “appears” instead of “appeared,” “confirms” instead of “confirmed,” “reveals” instead of “revealed,” and “...and this for two reasons...” to read “...and this is for two reasons...”.
5. **CONCLUSION:** There is no conclusion heading, and authors are required to structure a well-organized summary of the study findings.