

Review of: "Dithiothreitol causes toxicity in *C. elegans* by modulating the methionine-homocysteine cycle"

Ling Feng Wang

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

In this manuscript, the authors demonstrated the toxicity mechanism of dithiothreitol (DTT) on the development of *C. elegans*. The experiment is well designed and the results are consistent and convincing. I am also interested in the findings, and I have done some reading and learning according to the literature cited in this paper. The following are some minor problems, which I hope to discuss with the author in-depth.

1. N-acetylcysteine (NAC) are employed as antioxidant scavengers for comparison. As mentioned in the introduction part of this paper, NAC could have detrimental effects on the health and lifespan of *C. elegans*. DTT is similar to NAC to a certain extent. What does the author think of the usage of NAC?

2. Arles Urrutia et al. (Bacterially produced metabolites protect *C. elegans* neurons from degeneration) find that fed with HT115 showed a higher neuroprotective effect than OP50 because of the metabolic differences of GABA and lactate. Whether the author has considered the link between metabolites such as lactate to methionine-homocysteine cycle and possible function.

3. *C. elegans* were maintained at different times such as 72h or 84h because different strains have different growth times. Considering the important role of methionine-homocysteine cycle in the development of *C. elegans*, the development time should be different in the strains like *drm-1* (gk231506), *metr-1* (ok521), *sams-1* (ok2946) and so on. Has it been verified?

4. The results of Fig.S1 seem do not correspond to the fluorescence intensity of strains fed with *S. enterica* (D) and *S. marcescens* Db11 (C) at a concentration of 10mM DTT. The *S. enterica* group should be better.

5. Inhibition of XBP-1/IRE-1 did not reproduce the salvage effect of B12 on the developmental toxicity of high concentrations of DTT but PEK and ATF-6 can be done. This is a very interesting discovery.

The workload of this paper is abundant, but it is a pity that the expression of related proteins is not determined. Otherwise, should it be considered that the affected regulation of SAM on protein translation or degradation pathway (PEK, ATF-6 involved) is the main cause of developmental toxicity caused by DTT?