

# Review of: "Enhancing Academic Speaking Skills: An Immersive Virtual World Approach"

Anh Pham Dang Tram

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

#### **General comments**

- 1. The paper is clearly presented, all the format features of a research paper in English are closely observed.
- 2. The paper draws on insights from different research instruments.
- 3. The findings of the study are beneficial in the current increasingly technologically-driven pedagogical environment.

#### Introduction

The aims and the significance of the study should be added in the Introduction section.

## **Literature Review**

- 1. Strong points of view and critical criticism from the authors on the previous studies should be provided in the Literature review section.
- 2. What the literature review helps to form the current research should be emphasized.
- 3. The gaps in existing studies should be clearly identified.

## Methodology

- 1. One more item "Research Procedures" should be added in the Methodology section. The course content should be moved here. Also, classroom activities, assignments, tasks should be clearly described.
- 2. How long was the treatment? (or how long did the pilot course last?). Please clearly specify, as the length of the course has a significant impact on the effectiveness of the treatment.

#### **Participants**

- 1. Participants should be more clearly described.
- 2. Only 20.5 % (41/200) is quite a low rate of participant population to ensure the reliability and validity of the study.
- 3. Merely 28 out of 200 students who did the pre- and post- tests are not representative for the whole population.



- 4. Why did the authors select to observe only 120 out of 200 course students? Please specify.
- 5. An explanation should be given for three above items 2, 3, 4.

## Results

The length of the findings and discussion sections do not meet a research paper's requirement. They should be 50% of the whole paper length.

#### **Discussion**

The discussion should interpret the meaning of the results.

All discussion opinions should be put in context and explained why they mattered.