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Let's take that observer A is on the Moon and the observer B is on the Earth surface. Clocks on the Moon run faster than clocks on the Earth. Rate of clocks can be calculated by known equations of GR. If you take that, Moon is inertial system A and Earth is inertial system B than in these both inertial systems clocks runs with the same rate for the observer A and the observer B. Inertial system is only a model in the physical reality there is no inertial systems and so mathematical model of inertial systems cannot rule physical reality.

In SR, Lorentz’s transformation tool is a big mistake, it is misleading. There is no “length contraction” in physical reality, there is no “time dilation” in physical reality. The only real thing is that the rate of clocks is variable and depends on gravity.

Dingle did not understand SR, and his objections are pointless. Sure, his equation is false. But you have to explain why. Doing a bit of algebra, you see that out of this equation follows that Tb/Ta = Ta/Tb which is not true because Tb and Ta have different value, see below.
The insight that the formula of Dingle is false is good. But this article is missing the phenomenological frame of SR and GR.

SR was my research subject for several years, SR is the biggest fall of physics ever. It is an example of extreme complication of most simple things. SR can be described by Galilean transformations for X,Y, and Z and Selleri's transformation for time t. [https://journals.umcs.pl/aaa/article/view/806](https://journals.umcs.pl/aaa/article/view/806)

Einstein did with SR a debacle of physics by inventing things that are nonexistent and still today damage beauty of physics. It is not that time is relative, relative is velocity of material changes, rate of clocks included. Einstein did not get this point, Dingle did not get this point, and still today only a few physicists understand the fact that time has no physical existence. The author should use work of Fiscaletti, Barbour and Rovelli as references.