

## Review of: "The Algorithmic Philosophy — A Synthetic and Social Philosophy"

## Rajesh Kaluri<sup>1</sup>

1 Vellore Institute of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Lack of clear description of research problem and motivation is not clear in introduction

Limited novelty and the overall scientific contribution of the paper needs to be more significant.

Paper contains few grammar mistakes and authors should rectify them in the final version.

The "Related Work" section has reviewed very few articles. With this number of articles, it is impossible to clarify their research gap, and you can work on it. Authors may refer to and add the following papers:

Kaluri, R., Rajput, D. S., & Xin, Q. Roughsets-based approach for predicting battery life in IoT. 2021.

Babu, E. S., Rao, M. S., Swain, G., Nikhath, A. K., & Kaluri, R. (2023). Fog-Sec: Secure end-to-end communication in fogenabled IoT network using permissioned blockchain system. International Journal of Network Management, 33(5), e2248.

Devarajan, G. G., Nagarajan, S. M., Daniel, A., Vignesh, T., & Kaluri, R. (2023). Consumer product recommendation system using adapted PSO with federated learning method. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics.

Babu, E. S., Barthwal, A., & Kaluri, R. (2023). Sec-edge: Trusted blockchain system for enabling the identification and authentication of edge based 5G networks. *Computer Communications*, *199*, 10-29.

Results lack insights and are not presented properly, and the discussion of simulation results is very general and brief.

The conclusion section needs to be more specific, and unfortunately, the main results that the author achieved are not stated.

The paper thus needs to be completely revamped with all the above information.