

# Review of: "Efficient Management of Information Communication Technology Resources in an Organisation"

Hameed Adebambo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Federal University of Technology, Akure

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Comments

### Title

- The title does not reveal the content and research Idea

### Abstract

- Needs revision.
- I'd advice that the abstract address the following: Why the study, aim/purpose, how was the study conducted and what is the conclusion from the study.

### Introduction:

- It is assumed that that this study has been done and completed. If yes, the use of futuristic word such as ... "the study will" should be avoided. My advise is that you use a simple present form.
- There is need for you to include the reasons (either challenges, issues or problem) upon which the conduct of this research emanates. While I appreciate your effort in stating the benefits of ICT, stating the challenges/Issues facing ICT in organizations will substantially support the need for this study.

### Literature Review

- There is need to show coherence and flow in the paragraphs under this section.
- The literatures in this section are relevant but the author has not systematically put the literatures together to showcase the essence of literature review. Hence, the paragraphs are isolated and hanging.

### Methodology

- The authors need to explicitly state the research approach and the process used in conducting this study. Every stage of the approach should be adequately explained and the result(s) at every stage must be presented.
- The explanation of this section must be presented in such a way that it explains what Figure 1 depicts. Hence, I'd suggest a strong review of this section.
- How many articles were downloaded?

- What are the criteria for selectin of articles?
- How did you ensure of the reliability and validity?
- How were the articles filtered?
- What are/is the inclusion criteria?
- How did you analyse the data/articles?

Answers to these questions in this section will strengthen your methodology

### **Discussion of Findings**

The discussion and finding section is good but looks isolated and hanging due to the lack of adequate presentation of the methodology. I believe this will be sorted once the comments on the methodology have been taken care of.

### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

I would suggest a review of this section as this shows a reflection of your personal view/idea. However, it should be directly related to the findings of your study. Every conclusion and recommendation made in this study has to be hinged to the results of the study.

### **References**

- This section is good.
- The references are up-to-date and well structured.